

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,153		12/18/2001	Tadashi Yamaguchi	KAN 137	6665
23995	7590	01/12/2004		EXAMINER	
	& Berdo, P		CHU, CHRIS C		
SUITE 50	H STREET, 0	, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2815	
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/020,153	YAMAGUCHI, TADASHI					
-	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chris C. Chu	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) apply 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	// / / ////// N					

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

- (1) The proposed amendment of new claim 21 narrows the claimed invention by adding new limitation, such as "wherein a portion of the reference lines that extends beyond and outside the area that is sealed by said sealing resin is not covered by said sealing resin", which raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.
- (2) In response to applicant's arguments against amended claim 1, Toyoda clearly states in page 2/8, section 0014 that the adhesives 27 are applied on the diamond touch pattern 17 of the circuit board 25. In other words, the elements 27 is a plurality of adhesive lines, as recited in claim 1. Furthermore, Toyoda clearly shows in Fig. 12 that the semiconductor chip 29 uses legs of the element 17 as a guide or reference lines to be fixed on the circuit board 25. Since adhesive lines 27 of Toyoda are applied on the element 17, the adhesive lines 27 are adapted for uses as reference lines. Even further, Toyoda clearly shows in Fig. 12 the adhesive lines 27 being respectively provided at positions corresponding to at least three corners of the semiconductor element (29). Finally, since applicant does not specifically claimed that the reference lines extending beyond and outside of "the entire area" that is sealed by the sealing, Toyoda meets the claim (see paragraph three of the final rejection mailed on October 8, 2003). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

For the above reasons, the rejection is maintained.